

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/751,794	12/29/2000	Dennis M. Briddell	062891.0464	5316	
7590 11/19/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L.L.P.			VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT		
2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2661		

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

j	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/751,794	BRIDDELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David R Vincent	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet find period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	• • •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	· i	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad				
detailed detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	ou.				
A44						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/1/04. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
F						

Application/Control Number: 09/751,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment, a Final Rejection is included below.

Note

The examiner included the non-patent literature again because it did not appear in the IFW (electronic form) and may need to be scanned.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hickali (US 5,619,500), in view of Stallings 1999 ISDN/ATM text book as specified in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/01/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,794

Art Unit: 2661

In re pg. 7 the applicant argues there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the applied art.

In response, to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of references. In re Nomiya, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is not what individual references themselves suggest but rather what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re Keller, 648 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Sernaker, 702 F.2d 989, 217 USPQ 1 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). In the present application, the Stallings text book simply adds details which are not included in the U.S. Patent (Hiekali) because Hiekali considers the details of how units comply with the ATM protocol (see e.g., pgs. 438-446) notoriously well known or nonessential to his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,794

Art Unit: 2661

In re pg. 7, the applicant argues that Hiekali fails to offer any system associated with encapsulation.

In response, the examiner strongly disagrees because one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that "encapsulation" merely implies wrapping of data in a particular protocol header or using a layer which adds a header to a PDU (data from higher layer/protocol data unit). Since Hiekali clearly discloses an ATM "gateway" (302, Fig. 3; col. 3 lines 19-25) which one of ordinary skill would recognize as a unit which converts protocols (performs translations) by "encapsulating" and/or adding headers to higher layer PDUs so that non-ATM data can pass over an ATM bus after being encapsulated into ATM fixed length cells.

In re pg. 7, the applicant argues Hiekali fails to mention the term encapsulation.

In response, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand the OSI (Open Systems for Interconnections) model and/or the ATM adaptation layer units and how transmission units such as ATM gateways and many other devices take data from higher layers such as the application layer, e.g., an Email, video, or voice data and encapsulate said data into

Application/Control Number: 09/751,794

Art Unit: 2661

packets/frames so that said data can be sent across a bus (piece of wire, or medium) to a destination.

In re pg. 8, the applicant argues that the applied art are not combinable because Stallings offers no subject matter that is combinable with Hiekali.

In response, the examiner disagrees because Stallings which is an ATM text book teaches details of how a transmission convergence sublayer operates (see e.g., pgs. 438-446) and Hiekali discloses an ATM gateway and using a transmission convergence sublayer. Therefore Stallings simply adds the details of how the units such as ATM gateways and framing units operate in order to comply with the ATM protocol.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Art Unit: 2661

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 571 272 3080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571 272 3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> David R Vincent Primary Examiner

TC 2661